Attomey's Docket No. 70,840 Application No. 09/430,132 Page 7

REMARKS

Claims 2, 5, and 6 are cancelled herein, and new claim 17 is presented. Accordingly, claims 1, 3-4, 7-17 are pending in the application.

Drawings

Applicants note with appreciation the acknowledgement that the drawings submitted on October 9, 1987 are acceptable. Applicants are concurrently submitting formal drawings for review.

Specification

Kindly find enclosed a clean copy and a marked-up copy of a substitute specification in which the improperly included pages 14-29 have been deleted. Minor typographical errors have also been corrected.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants have submitted concurrently an Information Disclosure Statement, a

PTO/SB/08, and several documents for the Examiner's review. This Information Disclosure

Statement is intended to replace the previously submitted Information Disclosure Statement.

Declaration

Page 2 of the Office Action requested that the Applicants provide a copy of a declaration by the inventors having exhibits, in order that the Applicants could have the benefit of the declaration. Although the date-stamped receipt postcard in the applicants' file indicates that such a declaration was submitted to the USPTO, we have not yet been able to locate an executed copy

Attorney's Docket No. 70,840 Application No. 09/430,132 Page 8

of the declaration. Kindly find enclosed a photocopy of a message from the SPIE,, stating that Volume 643 was available to the public on October 13, 1986. This appears to be "Exhibit C" of the above-mentioned declaration and to refer to Volume 643 of the Proceedings of the May 1986 SPIE Conference on Infrared Optics.

Please note that copies of the two items mentioned in the declaration (Volume 643 of the Proceedings of the May 1986 SPIE Conference on Infrared Optics, and viewgraphs used during a talk at that conference) are included with the concurrently submitted Information Disclosure Statement.

Should additional information be required, kindly contact the undersigned.

Allowable Claims

Applicants note with appreciation the indication at page 2 of the Office Action that Claims 2, 3, 6-8, and 10-16 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been rewritten to include the features of Claim 2, claim 2 has been cancelled, and claim 3 has been amended to depend from Claim 1. Claim 4 has been rewritten to include the features of claims 5 and 6, claims 5 and 6 have been cancelled, and claims 7-8 have been amended to depend from claim 4. Claim 9 has been cancelled, and claim 10 has been rewritten in independent form to include the features of claim 9.

New claim 17 has been added to set forth additional subject matter. No new matter has been added.

Attorney's Docket No. 70,840 Application No. 09/430,132 Page 9

Several of the claims have been amended in minor ways intended to place them in better form, for example, to delete unnecessary "means" language. Should there be any questions or concerns regarding the claims, kindly contact the undersigned so that such concerns can be quickly resolved.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, examination of the amended claims and allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.

Although no fee is believed to be due for this submission, kindly charge any fee that may be due, or credit overpayments, to Deposit Account 50-0281.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Jan 4,2005

By: Sally a Fences

Sally A. Ferrett

Registration No. 46,325

Naval Research Laboratory Office of Associate Counsel (Patents) 4555 Overlook Ave., SW 20375 (202) 404-1551

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW.

NAME Sally A Ferrett DATE 1/4/05
BIGNATURE Sally Jenestes. NO. 46325

INFRARED INTEGRATING SPHERE

Background of the Invention

The optical characteristics of a material is an are important material property properties, and can be used, for instance, to assign optical signatures to well-known objects or classes of objects, and to identify such objects or classes of objects remotely. For an opaque object, i.e., one having zero transmittance, the object's directional emittance can be characterized if one knows the object's directional hemispherical reflectance as a function of object temperature temperature and angle of incidence. Many systems for determining reflectance are known, prominent prominent among which are integrating spheres, which for decades have been used to measure the reflectance of diffusely reflectingve reflecting materials in the UV, visible, and near IR. Unfortunately, their exist there are no generally agreed upon reflectance standards beyond 2.5 micrometers in the infrared. Consequently the reflective properties of materials in the infrared are not well known, and there is a need for integrating sphere systems which can measure the infrared diffuse reflectivity of materials with efficiency, convenience, and reliability.

Infrared measurements are complicated by air having several constituents (e.g. water and carbon dioxide), that absorb at infrared frequencies, which can distort or otherwise make less precise such measurements of diffuse reflectance if the measurements are made in an air atmosphere with a single beam spectrophotometer. Unfortunately, were one to contain any of the present integrating sphere systems in a chamber containing an artificial, non-absortive, non-absorptive atmosphere, one could examine the angular dependence an object's diffuse reflectance only by venting the atmosphere after each test at each angle of incidence, repositioning the object to change the angle of incidence, and recharging the system's artificial atmosphere. This repeated venting and recharging is most inefficient, inconvenient, and uneconomical.

Summary of the Invention

Accordingly, an object of this invention is to provide a novel integrating sphere testing system that can measure the diffuse reflectance of samples in the infrared.

Another object of the invention is to operate the system in an atmosphere that has virtually no absorptance in the infrared.

Another object of the invention is to enable one to reposition samples within the system by means external to it, so that one can make a plurality of measurements to test the angular dependence of a sample's diffuse reflectance without needing to vent and replenish the system's atmosphere between any of the plurality of measurements.

Another object of the invention is to enable one to selectively vary sample temperature, so at to allow testing of the temperature dependence of the sample's infrared diffuse reflectance. In accordance with these and other objects made apparent hereinafter, the invention provides an integrating sphere disposed in an airtight chamber under an atmosphere that does not absorb infrared frequencies. The sphere has two positions where a sample may be mounted, one at the sphere's center, another on the sphere's wall, each position corresponding to a different mode by which the sphere can measure diffuse reflectance. In one mode, a rod disposed along a radius vector of the sphere acts as a mounting pedestal for a center-mount sample, disposing the sample at the sphere's geometrical center. The rod is rotatively mounted about its elongate axis so that a center-mounted sample can about with the rod is elongate axis. The rod penetrates the sphere and the airtight chamber, terminating in a handle by which the rod can be rotated as above

described, enabling one to select the incidence angle of the beam on the center mounted sample. In this mode one can reposition the pedestal to systematically examine the angular dependence of a specimen's diffuse reflectance. In addition, a reference or standard can be mounted back-to back with the sample to be measured. By having the positioning handle of the rod external to the test chamber, one can angularly reposition the sample in the sphere externally and without the need to vent and replenish the atmosphere in the apparatus for each angular measurement. In the wallmount mode, a sample is placed on the sphere's wall, and one uses the sphere's wall as the reference. Adjacent to the wall-mount position is a heater for varying sample temperature, with which one can test the temperature dependence of the diffuse reflectance of the wall mounted sample. The ability to mount samples in either of two modes enables one to compare the diffuse reflectance of the center mounted sample against an identical wall mounted sample for purposes of calibrating data taken in one mode by that taken in the other.

Brief Description of the Drawings

A more complete appreciation of the invention and many of the

attendant advantages thereof is readily obtained as the same becomes better understood by reference to the following detailed description, when considered in connection with the accompanying drawings., wherein:

Fig. 1 is a top schematic view, partly in section, of a measuring system employing the instant invention.

Fig. 2 is a view in the direction of lines 2-2 of Figure 1.

Fig. 3 is a detail of the portion of Fig. 1 encircled by line 3-3.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Referring now to the drawings, wherein like reference numerals designate identical or corresponding parts throughout the several views, and with particular reference to Fig. 1, an integrating sphere 1 is shown disposed within an airtight chamber 15 under a non-absorptive atmosphere fed in at 17. Nitrogen is preferred because it has vitually virtually no absorptance in the infrared, and as such provides a far better atmosphere for chamber 17 than, e.g., air, which contains much water vapor and carbon dioxide, each of which has characteristic infrared frequencies, and whose absorbtance would degrade infrared measurements taken by sphere 1. Chamber 15 has an appropriate door (not shown), so that one can get to the interior of sphere 1

between tests. Sphere 1 is a metal shell of, for example, nickel, whose inner surface is Lambertian (diffusely reflective). Such a surface can be generated by plating a highly reflective (preferably 95% or greater reflectivity) material onto a pre-roughened surface. In a preferred embodiment, the plating on the inner surface of sphere 1 is gold, an especially good choice not only because of its high reflectivity, but also because its optical properties are generally stable with time. The desired roughness (coarseness) is generated by grit blasting or other conventional techniques. The coarseness of this roughening must be such that the height of micro-peaks on sphere I's inner surface and the distance between such peaks, is of the same order of magnitude as, or larger than, the wavelengths of light to be diffused, and, of course, small with respect to the diameter of any light beam to be input into sphere 1. Surfaces of coarseness appropriate for infrared wavelengths are readily produced with known methods. The gold plate can be applied by any known process, such as chemical ("wet") electroplating. Sphere 1 preferably has a plurality of ports (not shown) which can be closed by conventional removable plugs (not shown) which have inner surfaces geometrically conformable with, and optically identical to, the inner surface of sphere 1. Such ports enable one to practice the "removable cap technique" for

measuring the reflectivity of sphere I's inner surface, this technique well known to those skilled in the art. One skilled in the art may also selectively place the ports so that they may facilite facilitate specular measurements using sphere 1 by acting as specular subtraction ports. As illustrated in Fig. 1, sphere 1 contains a pair of sample mounts 3 and 11, a sample at 3 being disposed at the center of sphere 1 on elongated pedestal 5, and a sample at 11 being disposed on sphere I's wall. (Fig. 1 additionally shows a sample 4 located at center-mount position 3.) Pedestal 5 penetrates chamber 15 in an airtight manner and has a termination 7 disposed outside chamber 15. Termination 7 is preferably a precision vernier, and enables one to rotate pedestal 5 and a sample 4 mounted at 3 externally of chamber 15 in a place perpendicular to the elongate length of pedestal 5. Pedestal 5 and sample mount 3 should have as small an area as possible, and be coated with the same material as that coats the surface of sphere 1. A wall-mounted sample at 11 is mounted on the inner wall of sphere 1, and has adjacent to it a heating element 12 which, in a preferred embodiment, is a simple resistive (joule) heater. Penetrating sphere 1 so as to be exposed to sphere I's inner surface is a conventional infrared detector 13 which is disposed immediately above and in line with the elongate axis of pedestal 5. Pedestal 5 removably

penetrates sphere 1 and chamber 15 so that one can remove pedestal 5 and operate the system in the wall-mount mode. Of course, in the wall-mount mode the opening in sphere 1 through which pedestal 5 would extend is closed by a plug (not shown) whose inner surface is geometrically conformable and optically identical to that of sphere 1. Likewise, in the center mount mode wall mount 11 is removed and similarly plugged.

Also disposed within the atmosphere of chamber 15 is a radiation source 19, preferably silicon carbide, which is heated in any conventional manner, e.g., by a resistive (joule) heater (not shown). Source 19 is disposed at the focus of parabolic mirror 21, and upon source 19 being heated, the electromagnetic radiation generated by source 19 is columnated by mirror 21 and directed to parabolic mirror 23, which in turn directs the radiation to variable iris 25 disposed at the focus of parabolic mirror 23. Light passing through iris 25 is reflected off of parabolic mirror 27, which directs the light to a conventional (e.g., potassium bromide) beam splitter 33, which directs a portion of the light to integrating sphere 1 via mirrors 35, 37, 39.

Beam splitter 33 is disposed at a 45° angle to both fixed corner cube

29, and corner cube 32 that can move linearly along direction 30 at a 45° angle to beam splitter 33. The subsystem formed by corner cubes 29, 32, and beamsplitter 33[[,]] is conventional in the art and is used to determine the frequency content of the light input to sphere 1, and the magnitude of signals at these frequencies, so as to measure the total energy input into sphere I during any test. As light from mirror 27 impinges upon beam splitter 33, a portion of the light is directed to corner cube 29, and another portion to corner cube 32. A portion of light reflected from corner cubes 29, 32 is recombined and directed on to mirror 35. By measuring in any known manner the respective distances of corner cube 29 and 32 from beamsplitter 33, one knows the phase angle between the two interference signals in the interferometer. With this knowledge, and the interference pattern pattern, one can use conventional Fourier analysis to determine the spectral distribution and intensity of the light incident upon mirror 35, and hence input into sphere 1. Helium-neon laser 31 can also direct light to beam splitter 33 via mirrors 34 and 36. The light from laser 31 is of a precisely known frequency, and hence serves as an excellent standard by which to determine the position of moving corner cube 32. Sphere 1 can be linearly

translated along the line of sight of port 41, mount 4, and mount 11 at least a distance equal to the distance between center mount 3 and wall mount 11 so that, whether one uses the center or wall mount mode, the sample of either mode "sees" an optically identical beam through port 41.

With particular reference to Figure 2, more detail of the center mount 4 is shown, which has ledges 8a, 8b upon which are mounted sample 4a and known reference 4b respectively. Ledge 4a is adapted to align the face of sample 4a with sphere I's centerline 9, so that a point of the face of sample 4a is coincident with sphere I's center, and rotating of pedestal 5 rotates sample 4a's face about centerline 9, and sphere I's center. Sample 4b is similarly mounted, but, as seen in Figure 2, recessed slightly from centerline 9, so that, when pedestal 5 rotates to place sample 4b in the line of sight of opening 41, sample 4b is slightly nearer the incident light beam than would be 4a. Because sample 4b is a reference, one has no need to measure the angular dependence of its reflectance, and experience teaches that this, combined with the small magnitude of offset from axis 9, introduces no significant error into system measurement. Mount 4 also has lip 6 directly above samples 4 and obscuring the line of sight between the samples and

detector 13 (Figure 1). Lip 6 prevents direct reflection from 4a or 4b into detector 13, ensuring that all light incident upon detector 13 is reflected from the surface of sphere 1.

With particular reference to Fig. 3, the details of a preferred embodiment of wall-mount 11 are shown. Sample 14 is releasably held abutingly adjacent to port 20 of sphere 1, in the line of sight of input port 41 (Fig. 1), by arm 18 of coil spring 18. Preferably sandwitched sandwiched between sample 1 and arm 18 is heater 12 for controlling the temperature of sample 14. Thermocouple 26 is interlocked (by a conventional means not shown) with power supply 20 24 for heater 12 so that the power output of supply 20 24 may be automatically adjusted to control the temperature of sample 14 precisely. This configuration also physically isolates heater 12 from sphere 1 by an insulating dead air space, preventing direct heating of sphere 1 which could result in black-body radiation from sphere 1's inner surface.

In operation, one can measure the reflectance of sample material placed either at sphere I's center 3, or on its wall at 11. When testing a sample disposed in wall mount 11 sphere 1 is initially positioned with the

sample of unknown diffuse reflectance mounted at 11. Light from silicon carbide source 19 is directed to port 41, and tithe sample, which is positioned at 11 as above described, and the flux reflected by the sample at 11, impinges on the inner surface of sphere 1, the magnitude of which is measured by detector 13 after both single and multiple reflections off the inner surface of sphere 1. Sphere 1 is then pivoted in direction 43 about port 41 so as to remove the sample and mount 11 from port 41's line of slight in favor of a portion of sphere I's gold inner surface, and the measurement repeated. (Direction 43 is perpendicular to the plane formed by the elongate length of pedestal 5 and the line of sight between port 41 and sample 4 -- the plane of the drawing sheet on which Figure 1 is set forth.) Pivoting sphere 1 in this manner maintains the symmetry between detector 13 and the sample of the first measurement, and detector 13 and the portion of sphere I's surface of the second measurement, thus reducing systematic error. In this manner the relative diffuse reflectance of the wall mounted sample and sphere 21's gold surface is measured, and the reflectance of the gold surface is determined using the "removable cap technique" which can now serve as the reference for later measurements. Thus calibrated, one can repeat this

procedure to measure any sample at 11 of unknown diffuse reflectance using the inner surface of sphere 1 itself as the reference. Heater 12 can vary the temperature of a sample at 11 to allow one to investigate the temperature dependence of such a sample's diffuse reflectance.

For a sample center-mounted at 3, one inserts pedestal 5 carrying sample 4 as above described. Manipulation of termination 7 of pedestal 5 sets the angle of incidence at which light passing through opening 41 hits sample 4. In this manner, a series of measurements of sample 4 may commence by turning standard portion 4b toward opening 41 (hence opaqueing opaquing portion 4a) and using the standard to calibrate the system. Thereafter, sample portion 4a may be turned towards opening 41, and a series of measurements taken at various angles of incidence so as to test the angular dependence of the sample portion 4a's diffuse reflectance. Because incidence angle is set by external termination 7, one need not purge and replenish the nitrogen atmosphere in chamber 15 between each resetting of angular position, a great economy as well as a great convenience to the operator.

SPIE Conference on Infrared Optics, vol. 643, May 1776 (Orlando, FL)

Optical design of an integrating sphere-Rourier transform spectrophotemeter (FTG) emissonster

-Keith-A. Optical Gelenges Division, Code 6570, Navel Receaseh Laboratory-Mashington, DC 20375-5000

Kevin P. Carr.

-Pro: Box 70 North-Outton, New Hampshire 03260-

Abatract.

This paper describes the optical design of an integrating sphere. Spectrophotometer (FTS) instrument for measuring diffuse IR reflects angle, temperature and wavelength. The integrating sphere is 5 inches in diameter with country sourced sample stage permitting beam incidence angles of 10 to 70 degrees. Sample stage permitting beam incidence angles of 10 to 70 degrees. roflestance as a function of een be sounted back to back for relative measurements and ports are included for specular substration of the reflected beam at 20 and 60 degree includence angles. A beater capable of producing temperatures over 150 C has been included in a sample mount on the wall of sphere. In addition, the sphere can be retained about the beam port, permitting operation in both the center and wall mounted modes. spheres a 16 mm square cooled Hyddre detector and an uncooled 3 mm diamoter DTCS detector which a coupled to the ophere with a nonimaging compound elliptic consentrator (CSC). which is coupled to the ophere with a nonimaging compound alliptic consentrator (CRC). The CRC restricts the detector's field of view (POV) to uniform contrast areas on the ophere wall with essentially no change in detector flux. The sphere's coating consists of matery 10 mm; a similar coating with a roughness average of approximately 10 pm was also considered. Heaverments of the 10 pm coating a total spectral reflectance from 0.3 20% and the hiddress total spectral reflectance from 0.3 20% and the hiddress total reflectance from 0.3 20% and the hiddress total reflectance from 0.3 20% and the hiddress total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the property of the 10 pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the property of the property of the property of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the property of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution function (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution (none) at 2.0 10 ft and 20% of the pm total reflectance distribution (none) at 2.0 1 considered. Heavitable of the LV pm overing a test apocural sectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) at 3.0, 10.6, and 20.0, pm are proceed. The BRDF results show a Lambertian character in fixed estauthal planes and no specular peaks until the wavelength equals 20 pm and the incident angle is 60 de

Rictorical perspective

The integrating sphere concept was first suggested by H. E. Compact in 1892. The integrating sphere concept was first suggested by W. S. Sumpner in 1892. He demonstrated that the wall brightness in an integrating sphere is proportional to the total radiation emitted by a source placed inside the sphere. Bight years integrating appears a photoseter based on an integrating sphere. Since that time, a considerable net until 1955 that the development of integrating spheres has occurred, however, to was not until 1955 that the first correct derivation of the throughput of an integrating sphere not until 1955 that the first correct derivation of the enroughput of an integrating sphore was performed by Jacques and Rupponhoim. In the 1960's Edwards and his collaborators reported on a number of new reflectometer designs including the first visible/MR sphere with each sounted sample stage, this work was the basis of a commercial sphere marketed by Cier Dunkle! for the Beckman IX IIA visible/MR dispersive spectrophotometer.

In an effort to extend the use of integrating spheres into into the mid infrared region, The preferred coating for infrared integrating spheres now appears to be roughened gold. In 1976, Willey describes sphisticated duel beam instrument which coupled a Fourier Transform (peckrephotemeter a sopniesidated quel seam instrument unader coupled a roution visite production of the college pold integrating sphere for infrared measurements. Hore recently, Gindele and his colleborators have reported on a diffuse gold sphere. For instrument 1976, Willey doseribed a spectral range of 2.5-15 microns. In this paper we report on the first mid to long wave 20 microns) infrared integrating sphere with a genter mounted sample stage addition, we show how one can estimate the error introduced by a detector viewing nonuniform illuminated areas of the sphere well. Finally, design ourses are developed for coupling detectors to integrating spheres with sempound olliptic sensentrators (CEG's) and a technique for modifying the CEG by refraction in a cover window is demonstrated.

Design details

4-section we describe the design of a self-contained ophese ascesory for the In this section we describe the design of a self-contained ophers accessory was one-Matten! Oygnus-25 PMS. The NRS Cygnus-25 (see Fig. 1) is equipped with a water socied 1300 G Sid source; a computer controlled frie, a Highelson interferometer with one are second (4.85 microradians) corner cubes; a MBr beam splitter; and a 2 m 3 mm pyroslectric detector fabricated from a single-crystal of deuterated trigly-sine sulfate (DTGS). The-

714- 225-

. ::

major sub-assemblies of the sphere accessory are the sphere itself, the detector and it associated optics, the diffuse gold coating, and the transfer optics.

. .

Sphere-geometry

The sphere diameter is 5 inches with six sircular ports provided for center or well mounted samples, a detector, the beam, and two specular subtraction angles. Total open port area in the center mounted mode is normally 1.42 in , or about 1.88 of the sphere's mounted mode is normally 1.42 in , or about 1.88 of the sphere's surface area. Table 1 details the critical dimensions of the sphere and its ports.

Table 1. NRL integrating sphere characteristics

Orhana at	
Sphere diameter (inches)	
Entrance port diameter	-5.00 ~
The same of the contract of th	
Sport of authority	7.23
Spocular subtraction pert(s)	-0-50-
	7130-
Wall mounted sample port	-1.25
NACTOR AGENTS	0.075
-Costing reliestance (get)	-CABIA
	^ ^ ~

Specular subtraction with a light trap is possible at 30° and 60° for center mounted employ and 10° for vall mounted sample port also has a versary heater and digital temperature controller capable of achieving 150°C sample temperatures. heater and digital temperature controller capable of achieving 150 C sample temperatures:
A variable angle mount positions samples at the geometric center of the sphere; the sample holder accepts one inch diameter samples up to 0.052 think. The mount inserts horizontally into the sphere in order to accompant a horizontal looking detector at the detector porty which is diametrically opposite the center mounted sample port. The gold control angle holder also accepts a diffuse gold reference plater mounted back to back with a test cample. Samples are hold in a slightly recorded position so that the sample is not within 160 without breaking purge. For well mounted eperation, the sphere is pivoted by 10 the beam port, giving a 10 incidence angle on the sample. In the unpivoted position,

Detector and associated optics

The standard detector for the Cygnus-25 has a 4.0 mm² square DTGG element with a D* of greater than 2 x 10° on m² 2 x 10 at 2 kHz. Currently a 16 mm² square Mercury Cadmium Telluride (MCT) detector and a circular DTGC/noningging cone system are boing fabricated. The MCT detector has a peak D* of 5.17 x 10° cm im 1/2 x 10° kHz.

When using integrating spheres, it is important to shield the detector from any high contrast areas on the sphere wall. Without this scattlebion on the detector's POV, two camples with identical total reflectances but different BRDF's could give significantly different regults!! For an integrating sphere with a center mounted reflection from a sample will brose out a wing on the sphere well as the sample rotates. The detector could be shielded from this high contrast ring with a baille inside the sphere The detector could be shielded from this high contrast ring with a berrie inside the sphere or a collimator outside the sphere. Wisible integrating spheres occasionally use diffuser plates (typically opal glass) over the detector port for this purpose, however in the infra-red diffusers are not commonly available. Baffles were viewed as undesirable because of possible distortions of the radiation field inside the sphere.

A nonimaging cone has been designed which permits a restricted field-of-wiew on the A nonimeging cone has seen consigned which permits a restricted field-of-wise on the debetor with essentially no change in flux. The proper soficator chape to use is a compound filiptic Concentratoria (GBC), with corrections for refraction through the detection. Recently Tardy I has calculated the throughput advantage of a GBC concentration. without a cover window versus an optimised collimator. In the next section this calculation to include refraction effects in a cover window and we present a set of general decign curves for a windowless CBC's longth and entrance eperture as a function of

Coating properties

Two roughened gold coatings were considered, both consisting of a 0.5 micron thick gold film on a roughened aluminum substrate. The roughness average of the first surface is approximately 400 micro inches (10 pm) and the second surface appears to have mean feature approximatory too micro inches (io pa) and the second ourists apposes to have ment to show in Fig. 2. Measurements of the 10 µm-costing's total spectral reflectance form 0.3 10.0 µm wars performed at Surface Option Corporation's using an integrating sphere in the visible from 2.0 20.0 µm man and a hami-cilipsoid reflectameter operated in the reciprocal mode from 20 -20.0 pm. The results of these measurements are shown in Fig. 3. Note the dip in the reflectance beyond 2.0 pm; this is the wavelength at which the integrating sphere is raplaced with the hamicallipsoid. It is unlikely that the surface roughness or wavelength

dependence of the gold's so retence is causing this 5-78 drop i he reflectance. A more plausible explanation appear to be non-uniformities in the radiotion source of the healt ellipsoid; a solution to this problem is proposed in an accompanying paper. A set of comparative measurements at Labsphere on the visible/NIR reflectance of the two continued indicated that the roughes coating had a higher total sellectance; and on the basis of these measurements the roughes coating was selected for the NRL sphere.

The bi-directional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) of the 10 µm coating was measured for 30, 50 and 80 incidence angles at 3.5, 10.5, and 20.0 misrons. For the most part, these measurements show a Lambertian Character to the scattering, with a specular peak first appearing at 20 pm and large incidence angles (>500) a tendency to forward scatter, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Note the The surface also shows a lack of a specular pe at all asimuthal angles. Preliminary DRDF data on the rougher coating do not appear to be as Lambortian as the date in Fig. 4.

Transfer optics

Hormally, the Cygnus comes with a 15-on focal length (51/1), diamond turned, off-ania possiboloidal mirror which provides a 900 beam encursion to the image plans, located in the center of a smaple transmittance mount. Beam diameter in this plane is determined by the course disphragm dismotor in the object plane and the conservation of entenduc. is determined by the disphragm diameter is computer controlled in 10 steps up to a minimum diameter of 1.5 cm; The source

Since a single diamond turned ellipsoid which would satisfy the beam divergence requirement is not readily available, we have designed a three mirror system (see Fig. 1) having an effective focal length of 29 cm and a magnification of 5.7. This arrangement uses one concave spherical and two flat mirrors, all of which have front surface aluminum coatings. The resultant beam divergence is less than 4-50, as recommended by the Commission Inter-

Detector optics comparison

When coupling detector to integrating spheres, it is necessary to restrict a detector! When coupling detector to integrating spheres, it is declarate from some the field of view (FOV) so so to prevent direct observation of the been port, the sample, and the first specular reflection (if present) from a sample. Olsen and Pentarelil! Observed 4-71 variations in reflectance when a reflective, growed sample mounted on the well of integrating sphere was retained about an axis perpendicular to its surface. This is equilable to holding a sample's total reflectance constant and varying the BRDF. The highest -07 reflectances were observed when the sample grooves reflected the portion of the sphere wall viewed by the detector. Obviously, the equivaportion of the sphere wall viewed by the detector. Obviously, the accuracy of diffuse reflectance measurements made with an integrating aphere will depend on the degree to which the radiation field within the detector's FOV is uniformly Lambertian.

Contract calculations

The contrast ratio between a directly irradiated area on a sphere wall and the onle apertura irradiance can be written in terms of the incident flux 4; the sphere throughput A, the irradiated spot area Ag, and the exit post area Ag, as follows

By considering the successive reflections of radiation entering a sphere and the fraction of radiation lest through ports after each reflection, Goobal! has shown that the

equal to the ratio of the exit port Mace s is equal to the vetal port area (beam + detector) divided by the sphere wall area to the total port area (beam + detector) divided by the sphere wall area the the sphere wall is illuminated directly, that the wall's feflectance, p., is Lambortian and constant over the sphere, that the reflectivity of all ports is sore, and that no sample is present in the sphere. When the wall reflectivity vity is set equal to unity, Eq. (2) correctly reduces to A (A A). If baffles or cample helders are introduced into the aphere then A must be incleased to take into account the additional surface area. In the absence of all tiple reflections the sphere throughput would simply be f.p. the additional term in the denominator corresponds to the throughput entropy and the strong and the strong at least two reflections. For the NRL sphere described earlier with p = .95, Eq. (2) gives a throughput of .028. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) gives

Thus, for the NRL sphere with A = 2A Eq (3) gives a contrast of 18. This type of analysis can be used to estimate the effect of a detector viewing the beam spot directly. Let the fraction of the sphere wall viewed by the detector which is directly irredisted by Then the fractional change in flux at the dataster port due to moving the power shor to gug one of she gospetor a train of alem te disease for the power of t

Hence, shifting a beam spot of area 3h is and out of the field of view of a detector of the sphere throughput by 174. This is a (4) -1013e1sb s to welv to very large offect which must be sensidered essetully when designing integrating spheres.

Dectactor optics throughput comparison

this section we compare the throughput of a baffle, a collimator, and a Celliptic concentrator (CSC) (see Fig. 5. No have been unable to locate exchange factors denea, however it is expected! that a concle chroughput will considerably lower than a CEC but higher than a collinator. Consider a sphere of radius R and a detector of radius - which is constrained to view a virtual source of radius s * a writer to concernance to view a virtual source of radius s through an operture of radius a (see Fig. 5d). The detector is displaced a distance i from the sphere will. If we assume that the sphere coating is a perfect lambertian scatteror, then we expect that the brightness, B, over any surface incide the sphere will be uniform, encluding regions directly illuminated by the beam. The flux at the detector port will thus be used to be according to detector will be uniform. through an eperture of radius

- B (9-2) P

where P. is the exchange factor for radiation leaving the detector port and arriving at the detector surface. One could also write Eq. (4) as a product of the flux incident on the sphere, the sphere throughput, and the exchange factor P. A. A useful expression for evaluating exchange factors for coaxial circular disks is. (5)

+BAD = (0 - DE) 2/4-2

where D, and D, are the lengths of the long and short meridional diagonals from one of the detector port to the an edge of the detector. We assume that the inner surfaces of the collimator and baffle are black and that one is free to vary their apertures as -(6)- of the collimator and baffic are black and that one is free to vary their apertures as long which we have calculated as a function of source and debatter site, whereas for the baffic we have limited the aperture diameter to that of the detactor to minimize shadowing

The CRC is a surface of revolution of two elliptical ares with foci at opposite edges of the source and detector. For meridional rays originating on the course, the case the course, the course the c Annuagaput is essentially unity, loss retionation touce, whereas for mericional rays originating of 5 the source the throughput drops to sero. Some oker rays from the source are turned back, leading to a slight rounding of the CBC of field of view. If the CBC is designed to expell flux over the hamisphose and if air surrounds the source and detector, then the CBC concentration and the exchange factor Taylor are related by:

CPAS = 1

where C = (r /r) 2 Thus the concentration of the CEC exactly compensates for the restricted field of view, and the detector flux is equivalent to that obtained with a Thus the concentration of the CEC exactly compensates for the CEC's detector mounted on the wall of the sphere. Gince the sphere throughput given by Eq. (2) varies approximately linearly with the detector area (for small 1), one may want to this case the GEC entrance apertures can be marged so as to fill the detector port

The larger incidence angles of radiation striking the CEC's detector compared to a collimator will undoubtably have a lower not throughput due to higher fromel reflection losses. If we assume that the radiance on the detector is Lambertion, then we can estimate the size of this offeet by calculating an average absorption as a function of the half Calculation are shown in Pig. 5 for detector optical constants typical of HCT et 10pm (in.k.s. 1.5. 2). Although the reflectivity Salis repidly beyond 60 7 the projected area has loss the average absorption to this decline. The overall effect opposes to

Figure 7 shows a throughput compasison for the GBC, the collimator, the optimized

. .

collimator, and the minimal baffle (F or). The weaults are normalized by the CEC flux and plotted ve, the source/sphere radit (f /k or lies a great circle source). The standard—Cygnus-25 DTGS detector is assumed, giving s/ks 0178. Note that for our design case—(F ks - 2), a factor of 5.6 improvement in throughput over the optimized collimator is predicted for the CEC. As the solid angle subtended by the source expende towards 15, the different detector coupling shoemes converge to the same throughput. The results are normalized by the CEC flux and

Figure 8 shows the length and sperture of a windowless CEC mounted on a sphere versus—the source size, plotted on a logarithmic scale. The upper limit on the CEC sperture is equal to the source sedius, and as this limit is approached the CEC length goes to infinity. For the wange of detector sizes plotted, r // walues less than 0.5-0.7 result in CEC lengths comparable to the sphere diameter. Thus, it appears that the CEC may not be suitable for applications requiring very narrow fields of view, such as those encountered with spheres operated in the region of mode.

Refraction compensation

The proper shape for the CBC are is not actually elliptical, due to refraction in the detector assembly cover window. One can argue that the blurring of the edges of the source due to refraction will be of the order of the thickness of the window or less, and consequently the source of the window or less, and consequently the source of the window or less and consequently the source of the window or less and consequently the source of the window or less and consequently the source of the window or less and the window of the window or less and the window or quently the liptical shape should be retained for ease of fabrication. For applications where source dimensions need to be precisely controlled, the CEC's shape can be modified. With a general string technique. We have performed such a modification and are with a general string technique. We have performed such a modification and are initiating fabrication of a refraction compensated CEC on a computer numerically controlled (CNC) lethe. The detector will be a circular DFGS detector! with approximately 750-more surface area then the standard Cygnus-15 DFGS detector. Figure 9 shows a compensated refraction compensated CEG and a well mounted windowless CEG for identical detector and source sizes. Note that the refraction compensated CEC is approximately is longer with a increase in concentration, compared to the windowless CEC.

Signal to noise ratio

In the previous section we discussed the throughput of integrating spheres including the effect of the detector's optios. The overall throughput of an PTS integrating sphere combination also includes factors relating to the source, interference and transfer optics. In this analysis we will assume these factors are fixed and start with the beam of the section of th flux entering the ophero. The signal from the detector can then be written as:

487

where the beam flux entering the sphere. Noise originating in the detector can be

491-

where Dt is the average detectivity of the detector material in (on the land v is the scanning frequency, which is normally 4 his for the Gypnus 35 DTGS detector, lines the overall signal-to-noise ratio of the instrument will be-

-(10)-

where we have not assumed anything about the nature of the detector optios. The beampower in the sample compartment was measured to be 10 mW with a pyroclectric rediemeter.

Pag for the 1 mm DTG detector was calculated to be 1026, assuming a window diameter of For the 1 mm DTCS detector was calculated to be v020, assuming a window distance of .160 inches (the detector port dismater the aphers throughput calculation). If was adjusted downward to the window distance of .160 inches (the detector port dismeter was adjusted downward to the window dismeter for the sphere throughput calculation). If we assume a conservative D* of L x 10 cm Hz 1/2/K, then eq. (10) gives 58 for the signal to noise ratio. By adding a CEC to a circular DTCS detector of equivalent area, this increases to 214, or by a factor of 3.7. This improvement is momentat less than that predicted earlier, and is due to the wides field of view of the thandard DTCS unit compared to that assumed for the CEC (r/R =0.9). Even so, the advantage of using a CEC is obvious, depending on the value used for the average D*.

-Conclusions

When dealing with integrating spheres having senter mounted samples, a compand elliptic concentrator (CRC) is the optimal way to couple a detector to the sphere. The CRC permits restricted fields of view with negligible losses in detector flux: Decause the throughput OEC's with their detectors connected in series.

BROP data on the Labephere 400 winch roughened gold coating show negligible specular behavior for wavelengths ranging from 2-30 pm. The squewhat low directional reflectance measurements are being checked at another laboratory. I and will be reported in a future of the control publication. Preliminary data on the newer gold coating indicate that while its reflectiwity is higher, the BRDP is not as uniform. SIM photographs show horisontal feature sizes about 2-3 times as large as the 400 winch coating.

Acknowledgements

At NRL, Keith Sneil would like to acknowledge Arthur Morrish for his assistance in the operation and testing of the instrument. At Labephare, we wish to thank Richard Bilis for the greative implementation of the sphere design and Phil Lape for underwriting part of this research. We also thank Ternay New at Surface Option Corporation for his interest in cur project and assistance with the characterisation of the roughened gold coating.

Finally, we are grateful to Joel Covey at Matteen Instruments for his suggestions regarding the transfer optics, detectors, and other matters. This research was supported in part by a grant from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

References

```
W. A. Sumpner, Phys. Soc. Broc. 12, 10 (1892)...
R. Ulbricht, E. T. 8. 31, 895 (1900).
J. A. Jaques and H. P. Kuppenheim, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 45, 460 (1955)...
D. K. Sdwards, et. al., "Integrating sphere for imperfectly diffuse samples", Appl.
                        Gier-Dunkle has now become Dynatech.
   5. Gier-Dunkle has now become Dynatech.
6. J. T. Agnew and R. B. McQuistan, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 43, 999 (1953).
7. M. G. Byan and T. Hilgeman, "Integrating spheres for measurements between 0.185 µm and 12 µm", Appl. Opt. 14, 1137 (1975).
8. R. Willey, "Fourier transform spectrophotometer for transmittance and diffuse
   reflectance measurements", Appl. Spectr. 10, 593 (1976).

6. K. Gindele, et. al., "Spectral reflectance measurements using an integrating sphere—
in the infrared", Appl. Opt. 24, 1757 (1985).
          10. Hattson Instrument, Inc., 6333 Odana Road, Madison, WI 53719.

11. Observed at Labsphore with the Lockheed Sint vacuum integrating sphere spectro-
  Deserved at Labsphore with the Lockheed Sale vacuum integrating sphere spaces photometer. Contact Rich Calecki at Lockheed Palo Also Res. Ctr. 12. M. T. Welford and R. Winston, "The optics of nonimaging concentrators: Light and
12. M. T. Melford and R. Minston, "The optics of nonimaging concentrators: Light and solar energy", Academic, Now York (1978), p. 75...
11. H. L. Tardy, "Flux concentrators in integrating sphere experiments: potential for increased detector signal", Appl. Opt. 24, 3914 (1985).
14. Susface Optics Corporation, Hibert St., Suite C, San Diego, CA 92131.
15. K. A. Snail, "Now optical systems for the measurement of diffuse reflectance", SPIE Conference on Infrared Optics, April, 1985, Orlando, Fla.

16. Ontical Radiation Measurements, vol. 2. edited by F. Crum and C. J. Dantleson.
         16. Optical Radiation Measurements, vol. 2, edited by F. Grum and C. J. Bartleson,
16. Optical Radiation Measurements, vol. 2, edited by F. Grum and C. J. Darrieson,
Academic (New York), 1980, p. 70.

17. O. H. Olson and D. A. Pontarelli, "Asymmetry of an Integrating Sphere", Applied
Optical 2, 631 (1963),
18. D.G. Goobel, "Concrelized integrating sphere theory", Appl. Opt. 6, 125 (1967).
19. Handbook of Heat Transfer, ed. by W.M. Rohsenow, and J.B. Hartnett, McGrew-Hill,
New York, (1973)...

New York, (1973)...

20. Infrared Associates, Inc., 12A Jules Lane, New Brunswick, NJ 08901...

21. Willey Corporation, P.O. Box 670, Melbourne, Fla. 32901...
```

Obviously numerous additional modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in light of the above teachings. It is therefore to be understood that, within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced other than described specifically herein above.